

A NOTE ON THE VALUES OF INDEPENDENCE POLYNOMIALS AT -1

JONATHAN CUTLER AND NATHAN KAHL

ABSTRACT. The *independence polynomial* $I(G; x)$ of a graph G is $I(G; x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\alpha(G)} s_k x^k$, where s_k is the number of independent sets in G of size k . The *decycling number* of a graph G , denoted $\phi(G)$, is the minimum size of a set $S \subseteq V(G)$ such that $G - S$ is acyclic. Engström proved that the independence polynomial satisfies $|I(G; -1)| \leq 2^{\phi(G)}$ for any graph G , and this bound is best possible. Levit and Mandrescu provided an elementary proof of the bound, and in addition conjectured that for every positive integer k and integer q with $|q| \leq 2^k$, there is a connected graph G with $\phi(G) = k$ and $I(G; -1) = q$. In this note, we prove this conjecture.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\alpha(G)$ denote the *independence number* of a graph G , the maximum order of an independent set of vertices in G . The *independence polynomial* of a graph G is given by

$$I(G; x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\alpha(G)} s_k x^k,$$

where s_k is the number of independent sets of size k in G . The independence polynomial has been the object of much research (see for instance the survey [7]). One direction of this research, partly motivated by connections with hard-particle models in physics [1, 2, 3, 5, 6], has focused on the evaluation of the independence polynomial at $x = -1$.

The *decycling number* of a graph G , denoted $\phi(G)$, is the minimum size of a set of vertices $S \subseteq V(G)$ such that $G - S$ is acyclic. Engström [3] proved the following bound on $I(G; -1)$, which is best possible.

Theorem 1.1 (Engström). *For any graph G , $|I(G; -1)| \leq 2^{\phi(G)}$.*

Levit and Mandrescu [8] gave an elementary proof of Theorem 1.1 and, in addition, proposed the following conjecture.

Conjecture 1 (Levit and Mandrescu). *Given a positive integer k and an integer q with $|q| \leq 2^k$, there is a connected graph G with $\phi(G) = k$ and $I(G; -1) = q$.*

For brevity, in this paper a graph G with $\phi(G) = k$ and $I(G; -1) = q$, with $|q| \leq 2^k$, will be referred to as a (k, q) -graph. In [9], Levit and Mandrescu provided constructions that gave (k, q) -graphs for all $k \leq 3$ and $|q| \leq 2^k$. Also, they gave constructions for every k provided $q \in \{2^{\phi(G)}, 2^{\phi(G)} - 1\}$. In this paper, we prove Conjecture 1.

2. THE CONSTRUCTION AND PROOF OF CONJECTURE

The construction proceeds inductively, using particular $(k-1, q)$ -graphs to produce the necessary (k, q) -graphs. First we assemble the tools used in the construction. The most important tool is a recursive formula for $I(G; x)$ due to Gutman and Harary [4]. We let $N(v) = \{x \in V(G) : xv \in E(G)\}$ and $N[v] = \{v\} \cup N(v)$.

Lemma 2.1. *For any graph G and any vertex $v \in V(G)$,*

$$I(G; x) = I(G - v; x) + xI(G - N[v]; x).$$

Using this, or simply counting independent sets, we can derive the independence polynomial at -1 for small graphs. Some useful examples can be found in Table 2.

G	$I(G; -1)$
K_1	0
K_2	-1
$K_3 = C_3$	-2
C_6	2

TABLE 1. Some small examples

Since Lemma 2.1 requires a particular vertex $v \in V(G)$ to be specified, it will often be helpful to root graphs for which we want to compute the independence polynomial at -1 . Given a graph G and a vertex $v \in V(G)$, the *rooted graph* G_v is the graph G with the vertex v labeled. Of course, $I(G; -1) = I(G_v; -1)$ for any vertex $v \in V(G)$.

We now introduce two operations on rooted graphs which will be useful in our proof. The first of these is called *pasting*.

Definition. Given two rooted graphs G_v and H_w , the *pasting of G_v and H_w* , denoted $G_v \wedge H_w$, is the rooted graph formed by identifying the roots v and w .

We note two important facts. First, the pasting operation creates no new cycles, and thus $\phi(G_v \wedge H_w) \leq \phi(G_v) + \phi(H_w)$. (In our construction the roots will be pendant vertices, and so $\phi(G_v \wedge H_w) = \phi(G_v) + \phi(H_w)$.) Second, if for two rooted graphs G_v and H_w the quantities $I(G_v; -1)$ and $I(H_w; -1)$ have been evaluated using Lemma 2.1, then the value of $I(G_v \wedge H_w; -1)$ can be determined in a straightforward way. It is well-known that, letting $G \cup H$ denote the disjoint union of G and H , we have

$$I(G \cup H; x) = I(G; x)I(H; x).$$


Deleting the pasted vertex in $G_v \wedge H_w$ produces a disjoint union of graphs. This fact, and the recurrences

$$\begin{aligned} I(G_v; -1) &= I(G_v - v; -1) - I(G_v - N[v]; -1) \\ I(H_w; -1) &= I(H_w - w; -1) - I(H_w - N[w]; -1) \end{aligned}$$

then give

$$I(G_v \wedge H_w; -1) = I(G_v - v; -1)I(H_w - w; -1) - I(G_v - N[v]; -1)I(H_w - N[w]; -1).$$

It will be helpful to keep track of the various parts of the above calculation, and in order to do so we introduce the following bookkeeping device. Given a rooted graph G_v , where $I(G_v - v; -1) = a$ and $I(G_v - N[v]; -1) = b$, and hence $I(G_v; -1) = a - b$, we write $I(G_v; -1) = \langle a - b, a, b \rangle$ and say that G_v has *bracket* $\langle a - b, a, b \rangle$. An example can be found in Figure 1. Note that for a given rooted

FIGURE 1. A graph rooted at r with bracket $\langle 5, -3, -8 \rangle$.

graph G_v there are unique integers a and b , determined by the root, with $I(G_v; -1) = \langle a - b, a, b \rangle$. Using this notation, the calculations above give the following lemma.

Lemma 2.2 (Pasting Lemma). *If G_v and H_w are rooted graphs on at least two vertices with $I(G_v; -1) = \langle a - b, a, b \rangle$ and $I(H_w; -1) = \langle c - d, c, d \rangle$, then*

$$I(G_v \wedge H_w; -1) = ac - bd = \langle ac - bd, ac, bd \rangle$$

and $G_v \wedge H_w$ has bracket $\langle ac - bd, ac, bd \rangle$.

Our second operation is a variation of the pasting operation which, however, is useful enough to merit its own terminology and notation.

Definition. Given a rooted graph G_v and an integer $k \geq 0$, the ℓ -extension of G_v , denoted G_v^ℓ is the graph formed by identifying the root v with one of the endpoints of a (disjoint) path of length ℓ and reassigning the root to the other endpoint of the path.

The length of a path is above measured in edges; for instance for a rooted graph G_v , the 0-extension G_v^0 is simply G_v . As with the pasting operation, no new cycles are created by the extension operation, and so here $\phi(G_v^\ell) = \phi(G)$ for any ℓ . In addition, the values of the independence polynomial at -1 of various extensions of a rooted graph G_v are easy to characterize in terms of the bracket of G_v . Indeed, extensions of G_v have the same bracket values, up to sign, but in a different order. The proof of the following lemma follows immediately from the recursion formula and is omitted.

Lemma 2.3 (Extension Lemma). *If G_v is a rooted graph with $I(G_v; -1) = \langle a - b, a, b \rangle$, then*

$$I(G_v^1; -1) = \langle -b, a - b, a \rangle$$

$$I(G_v^2; -1) = \langle -a, -b, a - b \rangle$$

and $I(G_v^3; -1) = \langle b - a, -a - b \rangle = -\langle a - b, a, b \rangle = -I(G_v; -1)$.

We illustrate the cycling phenomenon with C_6 , a graph which will be used in our construction. Obviously we may consider C_6 rooted at any given vertex.

(Since C_3 has the same set of six brackets, in a different order, when extended, C_3 could also have been used in the constructions and proofs to come. We choose C_6 solely because C_6^0 and C_6^1 have positive $I(G; -1)$.)

Using the pasting and extension operations we have our final lemma, which shows that the word “connected” in the conjecture is superfluous. Any disconnected (k, q) -graph can be pasted together and extended to produce a connected (k, q) -graph.

Lemma 2.4. *Let G and H be disjoint (k_1, q_1) and (k_2, q_2) -graphs, respectively, with $k_1 + k_2 = k$ and $q_1 q_2 = q$. Then there is a connected (k, q) -graph F , i.e., F is connected, $\phi(F) = k_1 + k_2 = k$, and $I(F; -1) = q_1 q_2 = I(G \cup H; -1)$.*

ℓ	$I(C_6^\ell; -1)$
0	$\langle 2, 1, -1 \rangle$
1	$\langle 1, 2, 1 \rangle$
2	$\langle -1, 1, 2 \rangle$
3	$\langle -2, -1, 1 \rangle$
4	$\langle -1, -2, -1 \rangle$
5	$\langle 1, -1, -2 \rangle$
6	$\langle 2, 1, -1 \rangle$

TABLE 2. Brackets of C_6^ℓ

Proof. Root the given graphs as G_v and H_w and let the corresponding brackets be $I(G_v; -1) = \langle q_1, a, b \rangle$ and $I(H_w; -1) = \langle q_2, c, d \rangle$, respectively. Let $F' = (G_v^2 \wedge H_w^2)^1$. By the Extension Lemma, $I(G_v^2; -1) = \langle -a, -b, q_1 \rangle$ and $I(H_w^2; -1) = \langle -c, -d, q_2 \rangle$. Then, by the Pasting Lemma,

$$I(G_v^2 \wedge H_w^2; -1) = \langle bd - q_1 q_2, bd, q_1 q_2 \rangle$$

Therefore, again using the Extension Lemma,

$$\begin{aligned} I(F'; -1) &= I((G_v^2 \wedge H_w^2)^1; -1) \\ &= \langle -q_1 q_2, bd - q_1 q_2, bd \rangle \\ &= -q_1 q_2 \\ &= -I(G \cup H; -1). \end{aligned}$$

In addition, neither the pasting nor extension operations produce cycles, so $\phi(F) = k_1 + k_2 = k = \phi(G \cup H)$.

Now let $F = (F_x'^2 \cup K_2^2)^1$, where F_x' is a rooted version of the graph F' previously. Then by the same analysis as above, we have $I(F; -1) = -I(F' \cup K_2; -1) = -(-1)I(F'; -1) = I(G \cup H; -1)$, and $\phi(F) = \phi(F') = \phi(G \cup H)$, as required. \square

By setting $H = K_2$ and $H = C_6$ in Lemma 2.4 in turn, we obtain the following facts, which will also be useful in the proof. These two facts were also noted by Levit and Mandrescu [9], who used different *ad hoc* techniques in their constructions of the necessary graphs.

Corollary 2.5. *If G is a (k, q) -graph then there exists (a) a connected $(k + 1, 2q)$ -graph and (b) a connected $(k, -q)$ -graph.*

We now prove Conjecture 1.

Theorem 2.6. *Given a positive integer k and an integer q with $|q| \leq 2^k$, there is a connected graph G with $\phi(G) = k$ and $I(G; -1) = q$.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.4 we do not need to produce connected (k, q) -graphs for all $|q| \leq 2^k$; disconnected (k, q) -graphs will suffice. Since $I(G \cup K_1; -1) = 0$ for all G , we can consider the case $q = 0$ done for all k .

As mentioned previously, our proof proceeds inductively on k . When $k = 1$ then $I(C_6; -1) = \langle 2, 1, -1 \rangle$ and, as noted in Table 2, by taking extensions of C_6 , we rotate through all of $\{2, 1, -1, -2\}$. Thus the theorem is true for $k = 1$.

For the induction step, assume $(k - 1, q)$ -graphs are constructible for all $q \leq 2^{k-1}$. By Corollary 2.5(a) we immediately have that (k, q) -graphs for even $q \leq 2^k$ are constructible. By Corollary 2.5(b) we also need only construct (k, q) -graphs for positive $q \leq 2^k$. It only remains, then, to construct (k, q) -graphs for q each odd integer in $[0, 2^k]$. To that end, we prove the following claim.

Claim 1. For each odd integer $q \in [0, 2^k]$, there is a connected (k, q) -graph G_v such that either $I(G_v; -1) = \langle q, 2^k, 2^k - q \rangle$ or $I(G_v; -1) = \langle q, -2^k + q, -2^k \rangle$.

Proof. For $k = 1$, we see that the bracket of C_6^1 has the necessary form, i.e. $I(C_6^1; -1) = \langle 1, 2, 1 \rangle$. Assume that the hypothesis of the claim is true for $k - 1$; we seek to produce (k, q) -graphs for each odd $q \in [0, 2^k]$ such that 2^k or -2^k appears in their bracket. We consider two cases: $q \in [2^{k-1}, 2^k]$ and $q \in [0, 2^{k-1}]$.

For the first case, let q be an odd integer in $[2^{k-1}, 2^k]$. Necessarily then, $q = 2^k - r$ for some $r \in [0, 2^{k-1}]$. By the induction assumption, there is some $(k - 1, r)$ -graph G_v such that either $I(G_v; -1) = \langle 2^{k-1} - r, 2^{k-1}, r \rangle$ or $I(G_v; -1) = \langle 2^{k-1} - r, -r, -2^{k-1} \rangle$. By the Pasting Lemma, then, $I(G_v \wedge C_6^1; -1) = \langle 2^k - r, 2^k, r \rangle = q$ if the bracket of G_v is of the first form, or $I(G_v \wedge C_6^2; -1) = \langle 2^k - r, -r, -2^k \rangle$ if the bracket of G_v is of the second form. Thus the claim is true for all $q \in [2^{k-1}, 2^k]$.

We are left with the second case of the odd $q \in [0, 2^{k-1}]$. However, because 2^k appears in all the brackets in the previous case, necessarily these odd $q \in [0, 2^{k-1}]$ correspond to the r that appeared in those brackets. Hence extending the constructions for the odd $q \in [2^{k-1}, 2^k]$ appropriately will produce these r . \square

The proof of the claim completes the induction, and completes the proof. \square

3. ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors would like to thank Hannah Quense and Tara Wager for helpful discussions.

REFERENCES

1. Michał Adamaszek, *Special cycles in independence complexes and superfrustration in some lattices*, Topology Appl. **160** (2013), no. 7, 943–950. MR 3037886
2. Mireille Bousquet-Mélou, Svante Linusson, and Eran Nevo, *On the independence complex of square grids*, J. Algebraic Combin. **27** (2008), no. 4, 423–450. MR 2393250 (2009k:05137)
3. Alexander Engström, *Upper bounds on the Witten index for supersymmetric lattice models by discrete Morse theory*, European J. Combin. **30** (2009), no. 2, 429–438.
4. Ivan Gutman and Frank Harary, *Generalizations of the matching polynomial*, Utilitas Math. **24** (1983), 97–106.
5. Liza Huijse and Kareljan Schoutens, *Supersymmetry, lattice fermions, independence complexes and cohomology theory*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **14** (2010), no. 2, 643–694. MR 2721658 (2011i:81152)
6. Jakob Jonsson, *Hard squares with negative activity on cylinders with odd circumference*, Electron. J. Combin. **16** (2009), no. 2, Special volume in honor of Anders Björner, Research Paper 5, 22. MR 2515768 (2010g:05267)
7. Vadim E. Levit and Eugen Mandrescu, *The independence polynomial of a graph—a survey*, Proceedings of the 1st International Conference on Algebraic Informatics, Aristotle Univ. Thessaloniki, Thessaloniki, 2005, pp. 233–254. MR 2186466 (2006k:05163)
8. ———, *A simple proof of an inequality connecting the alternating number of independent sets and the decycling number*, Discrete Math. **311** (2011), no. 13, 1204–1206.
9. ———, *The cyclomatic number of a graph and its independence polynomial at -1* , Graphs Combin. **29** (2013), no. 2, 259–273.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, MONTCLAIR STATE UNIVERSITY, MONTCLAIR, NJ
E-mail address: jonathan.cutler@montclair.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, SETON HALL UNIVERSITY, SOUTH ORANGE, NJ
E-mail address: nathan.kahl@shu.edu